

# TELECOMMUNICATION ENGINEERING SERVICES ASSOCIATION (INDIA)

Central Headquarters  
Post Box-285, New Delhi 110 001

No. TESA/CHQ/2008

Dated: 24.08.2008

To

Shri G R Sharma,  
Financial Secretary,  
AIBSNLEA,  
New Delhi -110 001.

Comrade,

My attention has been drawn by some comrades to a letter dated nil addressed to me appearing in AIBSNLEA website since 19.08.2008 which is said to have been written by you. Since the letter is available in AIBSNLEA website for quite some time and you as Financial Secretary of that Association closely monitor that website, there is no doubt that the letter is really from you. I would have also ignored this letter as I have done so in respect of few other letters with similar objectives appearing in AIBSNLEA website from time to time as a part of a well known game plan by AIBSNLEA. But ***having gone through this letter I find that your main concern is to prove your loyalty to those who presently matters in AIBSNLEA and that too on the eve of your All India Conference so that you do not lose your job in the Association. This is crystal clear from the last Para of your letter. I, therefore, thought that I must bail you out from the difficulty and embarrassment that has been caused to you by mere quoting one of your statement in my circular letter dated 16.08.2008 to TESA members that you corrected a letter from one TESA District Secretary before putting it up in AIBSNLEA website since it was having full of mistakes. After all, as you rightly said, once you were associated with me. How can I therefore forget you in the days of your difficulties?***

2. You have introduced yourself as the founder member of AIBSNLEA. Yes, your name is very much available as one of the twenty six persons whose names are appearing in the list of 'desirous persons' in the Memorandum part of Rules and Regulations of AIBSNLEA. But the people generally accept only those as the founder members who contribute the maximum in the formation of that Association.

3. I am to tell you point blank that you have over stepped your jurisdiction by unnecessarily poking your nose in TESA affairs, since you are stranger to TESA (I) and not eligible even to be a

member of TESA(I). **If as General Secretary, TESA, I have clarified to Director (HRD) about the status of TESA (I) and communicated to him that TESA has not taken any decision to merge it with any other Association as required under the Societies Registration Act, there is no wrong. You must know that one's responsibility towards an association and its members do not end with one's retirement from service. You should also know that the Report of GS, AIBSNLEA in the CWC Meet at Bhopal and also in the AIC at Hyderabad, as referred by you, only carry the information about the action taken by BSNL on the request from AIBSNLEA to grant it applicant status. Mere quotation of the language from BSNL's Office Order in the GS Reports does not alter the fact that formation AIBSNLEA is not actually after merger of 10 Associations. Read both the BSNL's letter dated 29.4.2004 granting limited facilities to AIBSNLEA and the relevant Para of the Report so that the issue gets clear to you. However if you fail, it cannot be helped.** Your lack of understanding of the issue could be further realized from your statement that "problem caused by Shri Gunasekharan, GS, AIJEA was settled by submitting his consent letter to BSNL management regarding merger of his organization with AIBSNLEA and convinced the management by that way." I really wonder how you come to this conclusion. At least I never said so. If there had been any 'consent letter' from him for merger, then how can he complain that there was no decision by his Association for its merger with AIBSNLEA? I find that you are now really a confused person. **Can you tell me when Teleservice was termed as house journal of AIBSNLEA? It was never termed, and cannot be termed, as the house journal of AIBSNLEA. It was always the house journal of only TESA (I). Mere incorporation of the matters pertaining to AIBSNLEA members in this house journal cannot change the fact. If publication of matters pertaining to AIBSNLEA in Teleservice was 'illegal or unlawful', being a law abiding person (?) why you were silent so long. Further if Teleservice, the house journal of TESA(I), could be used as the house journal of AIBSNLEA, then why we got the name Telewave, as house journal of AIBSNLEA, approved by the Registrar of News papers of India and obtained clearance from Dy Commissioner of Police (Licensing) for its publication and even we brought out its first issue in December 2005 i.e. before AIC at Hyderabad? I find no reason for your insistence to depend on Teleservice even after these developments when permission from the competent authority was available for publication of Telewave and when even its first issue was already published and copy submitted to RNI to obtain the Registration Number. You should once again read the GS Report of AIC Hyderabad on this particular subject to refresh your memory.** Therefore, good sense should actually prevail only on you so that you do not continue to get confused on each and everything and enter into controversies. In regard to assets of TESA(I) you have stated that **"As far as the assets of TESA(I) are**

***concerned, I am not concerned at all and leave the matter to the honourable/learned members of that association for final decision.” Really so? I hope that you are not kidding. But have you taken permission for this statement from your leaders in AIBSNLEA? I am afraid that they will again be doubting on your loyalty. So be careful. After all, they cannot leave the matter to the members of TESA(I) and I am sure that in your ensuing all India Conference at Jaipur your leadership including you (after all, you are to prove your loyalty!) will burn mid night oil to discuss this issue and plan how to make your `operation grab the assets of TESA(I)` a success.***

3. *In Para 2 of your letter you had stated that in your Bangalore CWC, the President, AIBSNLEA reported that in Mumbai I had alleged that Shri K.Satyanarayana, GS, AFSSOA and G.R.Sharma, Fin.Secy, AFSSOA have `dwindled the AFSSOA funds`. I fail to understand that if I had to make this allegation, why I am required to travel all the way to Mumbai? I could have done so even in Delhi. You have asked for the proof for the same. I will advice you to get the proof from those who have reported this. I am no way responsible for any statement made by others and for that reason if these are recoded in your AIBSNLEA records. You have further stated that misappropriation of fund can not be made without the involvement of General Secretary. I am sure your statement comes from your own experience. I do not know whether you have experienced this now or in the past. At least you never told me this in the past. Therefore, it might be your present experience. Any how I am not preventing you from bringing `contempt`, as threatened by you, against me.*

4. I am sure that there will be no taker of your desperate attempt to wash your (AIBSNLEA) hand from your failed mission to occupy TESA Bhawan, since one of your important functionaries has now tacitly admitted to be engaged in the collective action to recover the assets of TESA (I). You may try to plead innocence but fact remains that the mission started only from the venue of your Circle Secretaries/Office Bearers Meet in Delhi. Yes, I am becoming old and not a `young` grandpa like you. But I can assure you that I do not have the problem of memory loss which you might have already started having. Any how, thanks for the concern that you have expressed for me. I really wonder your power of imagination when you say that mentioning of distance in my circular letter dated 16.08.2008 was with the intention to discourage our members from visiting TESA Bhawan. The distance of TESA Bhawan was mentioned in my circular letter with reference to that infamous particular incident of so called visit to TESA Bhawan which already took place. How in the present case the people in question could be tried to be got discouraged from making a trip to TESA Bhawan which they had already done? You must not forget that you are really a rank outsider to TESA and quite naturally unfamiliar with its functioning. Thousands of members of TESA (I) had visited TESA Bhawan since its construction and no one had any problem in doing so. *I therefore think, you should better apply your ideas regarding circulation of the name, address,*

telephone number of the caretaker of your (AFSOA) building in New Delhi for the benefit of your visiting members. Sorry, comrade! You have already sold out your building after formation of AIBSNLEA and therefore you can not implement your this idea. Any how, you will do justice to yourself if you do not consider yourself to be wiser than TESA members and refrain yourself from unwarranted and unsolicited advice to them on TESA affairs. They do not need your advice and they have not also retained you for the purpose of advising them. You better mind your own business.

5. You have stated in Para 5 of your letter that I have unnecessarily drawn your name in the internal matters of TESA(I). What I am hearing now from you? Having got yourself drawn in the internal matters of TESA(I) throughout in your present letter, you now realize that your name should not be drawn in internal matters of TESA(I). Wonderful! Any how, your name was not drawn by me in our internal matter. It came for other reasons, since during our discussion on the series of letters addressed to me from selected people appearing in AIBSNLEA website, you yourself told that you had corrected a letter addressed to me from one TESA District Secretary before it was put up in your website. I merely mentioned in my circular that the letter from the same comrade which was e-mailed to me and the one appearing in AIBSNLEA website clearly shows that the letter appearing in AIBSNLEA website is the edited one and you had admitted during the discussion to have corrected the mistakes found by you before its uploading in your website. Nothing has been told beyond this to get you involved in any way. **What you have put in bold capital letters in the later part of Para 5 of your letter about maintaining AIBSNLOA website etc are out of your imagination. Had you meant these, what you now write, I would have certainly countered them. However, I have now one question for you. Are you also closely in touch or associated with AIBSNLOA Office bearers? Otherwise, how could you say for certain who are writing letters for AIBSNLOA or maintaining their website? Again be careful! Your loyalty to your Association leadership will again come under doubt for your close association with AIBSNLOA leaders. Any how, I have not denied, and shall not deny that this Association certainly discuss with me or take my views on important policy matters which I had also told you during the discussion.**

6. ***No comrade, I do not consider you or your personal association with me as that important to me as you are trying to make it out. I am not after that also. I only believe in normal human relationship even with my adversaries. Any how, my circular letter does not carry any message that you are in touch and closely associated with me. I think I should affirm that you are not in regular touch or closely associated with me. I understand your compulsion and agony which prompted you to write this letter to prove your loyalty to those who now matter in AIBSNLEA. I hope that my certification will now clear the doubts in the minds***

***of those who have started doubting your integrity and loyalty to them. I do not want to endanger your job or service in the Association, specially when the election in your Association is to be held in just a couple of days from now.***

**7. I think that the clarification given above satisfies your need. Yes, you are excused as requested, for all the mistakes. Finally my best wishes to you on your becoming the `young' grandpa and the sweets that you offered to me on the occasion. Wish you all the best luck.**

With best wishes,

Yours comradely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'S Basu', written in a cursive style.

(S Basu)

General Secretary